

# Risk Management and Governance **Interest rate risk management**

Prof. Hugues Pirotte

reinvestment ast

 $0 - 5$ 

 $A - S$ 

هه. 5

ھ کے جا



### Introduction

- Interest rate risk (IR risk) is more difficult to manage
	- » Various interest rates in each currency, not perfectly correlated
	- » We need a function describing the variation of the rate with maturity, the *term structure of interest rates* or *yield curve*. value (ist)
	- The points of the curve do not show only parallel movements.
- Tools available
	- Duration and convexity measures (equivalent to delta-gamma in previous slides)

20

**PCA** 

- » Partial durations
- » Multiple deltas
- » Principal component analysis  $(AC7)$
- » Evolution around the latter like ICA



Zero-rates



- *n*-year zero-coupon interest rate =
	- » Interest earned on an investment starting today and lasting *n* years.
	- **»** *n*-year spot rate, *n*-year zero rate, *n*-year zero  $r(0,t)$  and  $r^c(0,t)$
	- » Annual compounded and continuous compounded rates:
- The term structure of zero-rates is also called the *zero-curve*.





#### Forward rates

Annual compounded version

$$
(1+r(0,t_1))^{t_1} (1+f(t_1,t_2))^{t_2-t_1} = (1+r(0,t_2))^{t_2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\rightarrow f(t_1,t_2) = \left[ \frac{(1+r(0,t_2))^{t_2}}{(1+r(0,t_1))^{t_1}} \right]^{t_2-t_1} - 1
$$

- **Continuously compounded version**  $(1+r(0,t_1))^{n} (1+f(t_1,t_2))^{2^{n-1}} = (1+r(0,t_2))$ <br>
→  $f(t_1,t_2) = \left[\frac{(1+r(0,t_2))^{t_2}}{(1+r(0,t_1))^{t_1}}\right]^{t_2-t_1} - 1$ <br>
Continuously compounded version  $\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \$ *r*(0,*t*<sub>1</sub>)×*t*<sub>1</sub> + *f*(*t*<sub>1</sub>,*t*<sub>2</sub>)×(*t*<sub>2</sub> - *t*<sub>1</sub>)=*r*(0,*t*<sub>2</sub>)×*t*<sub>2</sub>  $(t_1, t_2)$  $(0,t_2) \times t_2 - r(0,t_1)$  $(t_2 - t_1)$  $\binom{2}{2}$   $\times$   $t_2$  –  $r(0,t_1)$   $\times$   $t_1$  $_1, t_2$  $2 - t_1$  $(t_1, t_2) \times (t_2 - t_1)$ <br> $(t_2, t_2) = \frac{r(0, t_2) \times t_2 - r(0, t_1) \times t_2}{t_2 - t_1}$  $f(t_1, t)$  $\frac{t_2 - t}{t_2 - t}$  $x_{t_2} - r(0,t_1) x_{t_1}$  $\to f(t_1,t_2) = \frac{r(0,1)}{2}$  $\overline{a}$
- Practitioners use also the previous approach when they work



#### Some forward rates





#### Empirical research and expectations

- The unbiased expectations hypothesis (UEH)
	- » "The forward rate is an unbiased predictor of the future spot rate"
	- » It cannot be true theoretically and almost surely cannot be true in reality
	- **»** The UEH implies that, e.g. for a zero-coupon bond...  $f_0(T) = E[S(T)]$ <br> **»** By definition  $S(0) = E[S(T)] S(0)(e^r 1) \pi$
	- **»** By definition  $S(0) = E[X(T)] S(0)(e^{r})$ ies that, e.g. for a zero-coupon b<br> $S(0) = E\left[S(T)\right] - S(0)\left(e^r - 1\right) - \pi$
	- $\overline{p}$  To prevent arbitrage, we know that  $f_0(T) = S(0)e^{rt}$
	- **»** Using the last two equations, we get  $f_0(T) = E[S(T)] \pi$
- The local expectations hypothesis (LEH) equivalent to AOA
	- $\mathcal{D}$  With AOA  $f_0(T) = E^{\mathcal{Q}}[S(T)]$
	- » The equivalence of the forward price and expected spot price is, however, true only for one-period-ahead forward prices.
- » The expected returns, taken using the martingale probabilities, of any strategies involving any bonds of any maturity, are equivalent and equal to the one-period spot rate, i.e., the shortest interest rate in the market **The UEH implies that, e.g. for a zero-coupon bond...**  $f_0(T) = E[S(T \rightarrow B)$  By definition  $S(0) = E[S(T)] - S(0)(e^{r} - 1) - \pi$ <br> **To prevent arbitrage, we know that**  $f_0(T) = S(0)e^{r}$ <br> **To prevent arbitrage, we know that**  $f_0(T) = E[S(T)] - \pi$
- The market segmentation hypothesis
- 



#### LIBOR rates...

#### LIBOR

- » *London Interbank Offered Rate*: 1m, 3m, 6m and 12m rates for wholesale deposits from banks within another one.
- » The receiving bank must have an AA rating.
- » The committee of banks fixing the LIBOR has a guaranteed AA rating.
- » Short-term rate used for the floating leg of the swap.
- LIBID











## Dashboarding  $\rightarrow$  Gap Analysis





## Orange County's Yield Curve Plays

What's a yield curve play?



- At Orange County
	- » Robert Citron was very successful in 1992 and 1993 with these yield curve plays
	- » In 1994, he decided to use *inverse floaters*: interest = fixed rate floating rate and leveraging that position by borrowing at the short-term rate.
	- » When short-term rate rised, the portfolio had lost \$1.5 billion and OC filed for bankruptcy.
- Same game played by the Savings and Loans in the 80's.



#### Bond pricing and Yields

Bond prices

 0, ` 1 1 0 or 0 1 0, *c i i i n n r t t <sup>i</sup> i t i i <sup>i</sup> c B c e B r t* 

 Bond yield  $1(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{ } c_i e^{-y^2 \times t_i}$  or  $B^m(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{ } \frac{c_i}{(1+y)^2}$ such that<br>  $C_m^m(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{-y^c \times t_i}$  or  $B^m(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{(1+y)^{t_i}}$ 1 *c i i* at  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$   $\frac{n}{n}$  $f^{m}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} e^{-y^{c} \times t_{i}}$  or  $B^{m}(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i}$  $\mu$  **t**  $\sigma$  **t**  $\sigma$  **t**  $\sigma$  **t**  $\sigma$  **t**  $\sigma$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i e^{-y^2 \times t_i}$  or  $B^m(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i$ *y c y* such that<br> $B^m(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{-y^c \times t_i}$  or *B y*  $\int_{-\mathbf{y}^c \times t_i}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i e^{-y^2 \times t_i}$  or  $B^m(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{1 + t_i}$ that<br>=  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i e^{-y^c \times t_i}$  or  $B^m(0) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{c_i}{(1+a)^m}$ at  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i e^{-y^c \times t_i}$  or  $B^m(0) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{(1+y)^{t_i}}$ 

where

 $B^m$  = market price of the zero-coupon bond



#### How do you determine government zero rates?

#### 1. Looking at strips

- **»** Separate Trading of Registered Interest and Principal of Securities  $(STR)$
- $\rightarrow$  Prices of zero-coupons  $\rightarrow$  perfect discount factors
- » Example: Suppose you observe the following prices



#### 2. Using the bootstrap method

- » Take bond prices for the various maturities (must be a continuous set)
- » Extract the 1y discount rate for the 1y bond
- » Use that rate and extract the 2y discount rate for the 2y bond
- » And so on...



#### How do you determine government zero rates? (2)

#### 3. Using discount, LIBOR, forward and swap rates

- » Combine these rates to generate the underlying zero-coupon curve
- » Extending the LIBOR beyond one year
	- a) Create a yield curve that represents the rates a which AA-rated companies can borrow for periods of time longer than one year.
	- b) Create a yield curve to represent the future short-term borrowing rates for AA-rated companies.

In practice, we do (b).

» Example



### The risk-free rate

- It is usual to assume that the LIBOR/swap yield curve provides the risk-free rate
	- » Treasury rates are too low
		- $\checkmark$  Must be purchased by a variety of institutions to fulfill regulatory requirements
		- $\checkmark$  The amount of capital to support an investment in T-Bills and Bonds is lower than for other similar investments
		- $\checkmark$  Favorable tax treatment in the US



## Macaulays' duration

- Duration
	- $\lambda$  For a zero-coupon bond: maturity = duration
	- $\rightarrow$  Weighted average of the times when the payments are made = weighted average of the maturities of zero-coupon bonds

$$
D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i \left[ \frac{c_i e^{-yt_i}}{B} \right]
$$

Bond pricing and duration (with continuous compounding)

$$
\Delta B = \frac{dB}{dy} \Delta y \qquad \begin{cases} \text{Since } B = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i e^{-y^c \times t_i} ,\\ \Delta B = -\Delta y \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i t_i e^{-y^c \times t_i} \end{cases}
$$

$$
\Delta B = -BD\Delta y \rightarrow \frac{\Delta B}{B} = -D\Delta y
$$

Modified duration

- Bond pricing and duration
	- » when *y* is expressed with annual compounding



**Solvay Brussels School** Economics & Management

» with a compounding of *m* times during the year

$$
\Delta B = -\frac{BD\Delta y}{1 + y/m}
$$

$$
\mathbf{b} \quad \mathbf{If} : \quad D^* = \frac{D}{1 + y/m}
$$

» Then, we recover the following formulation

$$
\Delta B = -BD^* \Delta y \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\Delta B}{B} = -D^* \Delta y
$$





OR

2) javalle





#### **Convexity**

-20.00 40.00 60.00 80.00 100.00 120.00 140.00 160.00 180.00 1% 2% 3% 4% 5% 6% 7% 8% 9% 10% 11% 12% 13% 14% 15% 16% 17% 18% 19% 20% Bond 1 (5y) Bond 2 (10y) Bond 3 (15y)

Graph



## **Convexity**

**Formulation (continuous-time)** 

Formula: 
$$
C = \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i t_i^2 e^{-y t_i}}{B}
$$

\nThus: 
$$
\frac{\Delta B}{B} = -D\Delta y + \frac{1}{2} \frac{C(\Delta y)^2}{C(\Delta y)^2}
$$

**Formulation (discrete-time)** 

$$
C = \frac{1}{B} \frac{\partial^2 B}{\partial y^2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n c_i t_i t_{(i+1)} / (1+y)^{t_i}}{B}
$$



## Portfolio immunization

#### For a portfolio P

» Duration of the portfolio is the weighted average of the durations of the components S<br>  $\sum_{n}^{n} \Delta Y$  we have that  $D = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i}^{n} \Delta X_i$ ion of the portfolio is the weighted average of<br>onents<br> $\Delta P = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta X_i$  we have that  $D_{pf} = -\frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta X_i}{\Delta y}$ lio P<br>f the portfolio is the weighted average of the dura<br>ts<br> $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta X_i$  we have that  $D_{pf} = -\frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta X_i}{\Delta y}$ 

 $\sum_{j=1}^n \Delta X_j$  we have that  $D_{pf} = -\frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^n$ Since  $\Delta P = \sum \Delta X_i$  we have that *i* we have that  $D_{p f}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta X_i$  we have that  $D_{pf} = -\frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta X}{\Delta y}$ on of the portfolio is the weig<br>nents<br> $P = \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta X_i$  we have that D S<br>  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta X_i$  we have that  $D_{pf} = -\frac{1}{P} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta X_i}{\Delta y}$  $\Delta$ 

The duration of the *i*th asset is  
\n
$$
D_i = -\frac{1}{X_i} \frac{\Delta X_i}{\Delta y} \quad \text{hence } \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} D_{pf} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i}{P} D_i \\ \frac{1}{P} \frac{1}{P
$$

- » Idem for the convexity
- Therefore we have

$$
\frac{\Delta P}{P} = -D_{pf} \Delta y + \frac{1}{2} C_{pf} (\Delta y)^2
$$



#### Problems with duration?





### Calculating a partial duration

- Partial duration
	- **»** Formula  $D_i^p = -\frac{1}{p} \frac{\Delta P_i}{\Delta}$ *j j P D*  $P \Delta r$  $\Delta$  $= - \frac{1}{2}$  $\Delta$
	- » The sum of partial duration should equal the total duration





#### Example from Hull



![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

or ery other

 Changes of -3*e*, -2*e*, -*e*, 0, *e* , 3*e*, 6*e* for a small *e* in the 1,2,3,4,5,7,10 y buckets

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Interest rate deltas

- Definitions
	- » Change in value for 1bp parallel shift in the zero-curve
	- » Delta, DV01 or PVBP (Pressent Volue of 1BP)
		- $\overline{p}$  Delta = Duration \* Value of the portfolio \* 0.0001
		- » As for the partial durations, it can be done for each point on the zerocoupon curve.
		- » The sum of the deltas should equal the delta of the portfolio

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Change when one bucket is shifted

- Approach used in ALM
	- » GAP management
	- » Only one bucket is impacted by 1bp

![](_page_25_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Principal components analysis (PCA)

- The prior approach can lead to calculate 10 to 15 deltas for each curve
	- » Quite overkill because variables are highly correlated between them
	- » One idea would then to use historical data on movements in the rates and attempt to define a set of components that explain the movements.

#### Idea

» Attempts to identify standard shifts (or factors) for the yield curve so that most of the movements that are observed in practice are combinations of the standard shifts

$$
\frac{y}{dt} = b_1 \cdot X_1 + b_2 \cdot X_2 + \frac{c_6}{t}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{y}{t} = b_1 \cdot X_1 + b_2 \cdot X_2 + \frac{mv}{t}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{t} \int_{t}^{t} \rho u \, dv = 0 \cdot X_1 + b_2 \cdot X_2 + \frac{mv}{t}
$$

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Application to IRs

- Results of a study by Frye in 1997, published in *Risk publications*
	- » The first factor is a roughly parallel shift (83.1% of variation explained)
	- » The second factor is a twist (10% of variation explained)
	- » The third factor is a bowing (2.8% of variation explained)
	- (the importance of a factor is measured by the standard deviation of its factor score)

![](_page_27_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Alternatives for Calculating Multiple Deltas

- Shift individual points on the yield curve by one basis point
- Shift segments of the yield curve by one basis point
- Shift quotes on instruments used to calculate the yield curve
- Calculate deltas with respect to the shifts given by a principal components analysis.

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Gamma for Interest Rates

 Gamma has the form  $\partial x_i \partial x_j$  $\partial^2\Pi$ 

where xi and xj are yield curve shifts considered for delta

- To avoid too many numbers being produced one possibility is consider only  $i = j$
- Another is to consider only parallel shifts in the yield curve
- Another is to consider the first two or three types of shift given by a principal components analysis

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Vega for Interest Rates

- One possibility is to make the same change to all interest rate implied volatilities. (However implied volatilities for long-dated options change by less than those for short-dated options.)
- Another is to do a principal components analysis on implied volatility changes.

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

## References

- Books & Notes
	- » RMH: Chap. 7
	- » Don Chance teaching notes